

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/679,126	EDWARDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean F. Vollano	1621

All Participants:

Status of Application: new

(1) Jean F. Vollano.

(3) ____.

(2) Donald F Haas.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18-20, 24, 27

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The term "radical" is usually used to mean a cation, anion or lone electron in a free radical. The words before the term, hydrocarbyl, alkylene are really groups of atoms not radicals and therefore the term radical is confusing as to the metes and bounds that are being claimed. It has been agreed that the term radical will be changed to the term group by examiner's amendment. The change is only for clarity and does not change the scope of what is being claimed. The specification at the bottom of page 7 supports the term groups.